



85th GAMM Annual Scientific Conference
Erlangen
March 10-14, 2014

Singular Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control of Differential-Algebraic Equations

Timo Reis¹ **Matthias Voigt²**

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Hamburg
Hamburg, Germany

²Computational Methods in Systems and
Control Theory
Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of
Complex Technical Systems
Magdeburg, Germany



Problem Formulation

Minimize

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, u) = \int_0^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} dt$$

subject to

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ex(t) = 0$$

where

- $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$,
- $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $R = R^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

Problem Formulation

Minimize

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, u) = \int_0^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} dt$$

subject to

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ex(t) = 0$$

where

- $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$,
- $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $R = R^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

Definitions

Minimizer: A function $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, \hat{u}) = \inf \{ \mathcal{J}(x_0, u) : u \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m) \}.$$

Optimal value:

$$V(Ex_0) = \mathcal{J}(x_0, \hat{u}).$$

State of the Art

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

State of the Art

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Literature

- for ODEs ($E = I_n$) and $R > 0$: classical theory with **algebraic Riccati equations**

$$A^T X + XA + Q - (XB + S)R^{-1}(B^T X + S^T) = 0, \quad X = X^T$$

[LANCASTER, RODMAN '95]

State of the Art

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Literature

- for ODEs ($E = I_n$) and $R > 0$: classical theory with **algebraic Riccati equations** [LANCASTER, RODMAN '95]
- generalization to DAEs: **generalized algebraic Riccati equations**

$$A^T X + X^T A + Q - (X^T B + S)R^{-1}(B^T X + S^T) = 0, \quad E^T X = X^T E,$$

however need artificial side conditions to be solvable

[KAWAMOTO, TAKABA, KATAYAMA '99]

State of the Art

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Literature

- for ODEs ($E = I_n$) and $R > 0$: classical theory with **algebraic Riccati equations** [LANCASTER, RODMAN '95]
- generalization to DAEs: **generalized algebraic Riccati equations**, however need artificial side conditions to be solvable [KAWAMOTO, TAKABA, KATAYAMA '99]
- generalization to singular control, i.e., $R \geq 0$: **Lur'e equations**

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^T X + XA + Q &= K^T K, \\
 XB + S &= K^T L, \quad X = X^T, \\
 R &= L^T L
 \end{aligned}
 \quad [\text{REIS '11}]$$

State of the Art

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Literature

- for ODEs ($E = I_n$) and $R > 0$: classical theory with **algebraic Riccati equations** [LANCASTER, RODMAN '95]
- generalization to DAEs: **generalized algebraic Riccati equations**, however need artificial side conditions to be solvable [KAWAMOTO, TAKABA, KATAYAMA '99]
- generalization to singular control, i.e., $R \geq 0$: **Lur'e equations** [REIS '11]
- **Here:** singular control for DAEs: solution theory of **descriptor Lur'e equations**, no artificial side conditions [REIS, V. '14]

Descriptor Lur'e Equations

$$\begin{aligned} A^T X + X^T A + Q &= K^T K + V_\infty^T \Sigma V_\infty, \\ X^T B + S &= K^T L + V_\infty^T \Sigma W_\infty, \quad E^T X = X^T E \\ R &= L^T L + W_\infty^T \Sigma W_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

has a solution

$$(X, K, L, V_\infty, W_\infty, \Sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r \times n-r}$$

where

- $r = \text{rank } E$,
- p is minimal,
- Σ is a signature matrix,
- $\ker \begin{bmatrix} V_\infty & W_\infty \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{V} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : Ax + Bu \in \text{im } E \right\}$.

Even Matrix Pencils

Descriptor Lur'e equations have a close relationship to matrix pencils

$$s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sE + A & B \\ sE^T + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

The pencil $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ is called **even**, since $\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E}^T$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^T$.

Even Matrix Pencils

Descriptor Lur'e equations have a close relationship to matrix pencils

$$s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sE + A & B \\ sE^T + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

The pencil $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ is called **even**, since $\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E}^T$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^T$.

Deflating subspaces

- A subspace $\mathcal{Y} := \text{im } Y$ is called **deflating subspace** of $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ if there exist Z , $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of appropriate dimensions such that

$$(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})Y = Z(s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}).$$

- A subspace \mathcal{Y} is called **\mathcal{E} -neutral** if $x^T \mathcal{E} y = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Even Kronecker Canonical Form

Definition: even Kronecker canonical form

[THOMPSON '76]

Let $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ be an even pencil. Then there exists a nonsingular $U \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $U^H(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})U = \text{diag}(\mathcal{D}_1(s), \dots, \mathcal{D}_k(s))$ where each $\mathcal{D}_j(s)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ is of one of the following structures:

Even Kronecker Canonical Form

Definition: even Kronecker canonical form

[THOMPSON '76]

Let $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ be an even pencil. Then there exists a nonsingular $U \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $U^H(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})U = \text{diag}(\mathcal{D}_1(s), \dots, \mathcal{D}_k(s))$ where each $\mathcal{D}_j(s)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ is of one of the following structures:

Type 1 (finite non-imaginary eigenvalues):

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} & \begin{array}{ccc} -s + \mu_j & -1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -s + \mu_j \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ccc} s + \bar{\mu}_j & & \\ -1 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & -1 & s + \bar{\mu}_j \end{array} & \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{C}[s]^{2\ell_j \times 2\ell_j},$$

with finite non-imaginary eigenvalues $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}^+$, $-\bar{\mu}_j \in \mathbb{C}^-$.

Even Kronecker Canonical Form

Definition: even Kronecker canonical form

[THOMPSON '76]

Let $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ be an even pencil. Then there exists a nonsingular $U \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $U^H(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})U = \text{diag}(\mathcal{D}_1(s), \dots, \mathcal{D}_k(s))$ where each $\mathcal{D}_j(s)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ is of one of the following structures:

Type 2 (finite imaginary eigenvalues):

$$\varepsilon_j \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 & -s\mathbf{i} - \mu_j \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 1 & & \cdot & \cdot \\ -s\mathbf{i} - \mu_j & & & & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}[s]^{\ell_j \times \ell_j},$$

with finite imaginary eigenvalues $\mathbf{i}\mu_j \in \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ and block signature $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Even Kronecker Canonical Form

Definition: even Kronecker canonical form

[THOMPSON '76]

Let $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ be an even pencil. Then there exists a nonsingular $U \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $U^H(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})U = \text{diag}(\mathcal{D}_1(s), \dots, \mathcal{D}_k(s))$ where each $\mathcal{D}_j(s)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ is of one of the following structures:

Type 3 (infinite eigenvalues):

$$\varepsilon_j \begin{bmatrix} & & si & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ si & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}[s]^{\ell_j \times \ell_j},$$

with block signature $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Even Kronecker Canonical Form

Definition: even Kronecker canonical form

[THOMPSON '76]

Let $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ be an even pencil. Then there exists a nonsingular $U \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $U^H(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})U = \text{diag}(\mathcal{D}_1(s), \dots, \mathcal{D}_k(s))$ where each $\mathcal{D}_j(s)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ is of one of the following structures:

Type 4 (singular structure):

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cc} & 1 & -s \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 1 & -s \\ \hline 1 & & & & \\ s & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & 1 \\ & & & & s \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{C}[s]^{(2\ell_j+1) \times (2\ell_j+1)}.$$

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.
2. The descriptor Lur'e equation has a solution.

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.
2. The descriptor Lur'e equation has a solution.
3. There exists a p such that in the EKCF of $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$, the blocks have the following structure:
 - (a) All blocks of Type 2 (**imaginary evs**) have even size and negative signature.

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.
2. The descriptor Lur'e equation has a solution.
3. There exists a p such that in the EKCF of $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$, the blocks have the following structure:
 - (a) All blocks of Type 2 (**imaginary evs**) have even size and negative signature.
 - (b) There exist exactly $2(n - r) + p$ blocks of Type 3 (**infinite evs**).

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.
2. The descriptor Lur'e equation has a solution.
3. There exists a p such that in the EKCF of $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$, the blocks have the following structure:
 - (a) All blocks of Type 2 (**imaginary evs**) have even size and negative signature.
 - (b) There exist exactly $2(n - r) + p$ blocks of Type 3 (**infinite evs**).
 - (c) There exist p blocks of Type 3 with positive signature.

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.
2. The descriptor Lur'e equation has a solution.
3. There exists a p such that in the EKCF of $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$, the blocks have the following structure:
 - (a) All blocks of Type 2 (**imaginary evs**) have even size and negative signature.
 - (b) There exist exactly $2(n - r) + p$ blocks of Type 3 (**infinite evs**).
 - (c) There exist p blocks of Type 3 with positive signature.
 - (d) The remaining $2(n - r)$ blocks of Type 3 are either of even size; or the number of odd-sized blocks with positive and negative signature is equal.

Feasibility Conditions

Theorem

Under some stabilizability conditions, the following statements are equivalent:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible.
2. The descriptor Lur'e equation has a solution.
3. There exists a p such that in the EKCF of $s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$, the blocks have the following structure:
 - (a) All blocks of Type 2 (**imaginary evs**) have even size and negative signature.
 - (b) There exist exactly $2(n - r) + p$ blocks of Type 3 (**infinite evs**).
 - (c) There exist p blocks of Type 3 with positive signature.
 - (d) The remaining $2(n - r)$ blocks of Type 3 are either of even size; or the number of odd-sized blocks with positive and negative signature is equal.
 - (e) There exist exactly $m - p$ blocks of Type 4 (**singular structure**).

Construction of Solutions

Theorem

Under some weak condition, the following statements are equivalent:

1. There exists a solution $(X, K, L, V_\infty, W_\infty, \Sigma)$ to the descriptor Lur'e equation.

2. There exist $Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m, n+m}$, $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m, n+p}$ such

that

- the space $\mathcal{Y} = \text{im } Y$ is \mathcal{E} -neutral and of dimension $n + m$;
- $\mathcal{Y} \subset \begin{bmatrix} Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix}$;
- $\text{rank } EY_2 = r$;
- there exist $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p \times n+m}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sE + A & B \\ sE^T + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} (s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Construction of the Solution

Remaining Questions

- How to ensure that $\text{rank } EY_2 = r$?
- How to find a solution that solves the optimal control problem?

Construction of the Solution

Remaining Questions

- How to ensure that $\text{rank } EY_2 = r$?
- How to find a solution that solves the optimal control problem?

Theorem

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sE + A & B \\ sE^T + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} (s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}),$$

where Y is \mathcal{E} -neutral, of dimension $n + m$, and

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : Ax + Bu \in \text{im } E \right\} \subset \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If for every eigenvalue λ of $s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, $-\bar{\lambda}$ is not an uncontrollable mode of (E, A, B) , then $\text{rank } EY_2 = r$.

Construction of the Solution

Remaining Questions

- How to ensure that $\text{rank } EY_2 = r$?
- How to find a solution that solves the optimal control problem?

Theorem

If some conditions hold and the optimal control problem is feasible then

- there exists a solution $(X^+, K^+, L^+, V_\infty^+, W_\infty^+, \Sigma^+)$ of the descriptor Lur'e equation, and
- $(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})Y = Z(s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$,

such that the following properties hold:

- **Stabilization:** $s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -sE + A & B \\ K^+ & L^+ \end{bmatrix}$ has only eigenvalues in the closed left half-plane.
- **Maximality:** For $X^+ = Y_1 Y_2^-$ and every other solution X it holds $E^T X \leq E^T X^+$.

Optimal Control Signal

Optimal control signal

The optimal control signal $\hat{u}(\cdot)$ fulfills the **DAE**

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t), & Ex(0) &= Ex_0 \\ 0 &= K^+x(t) + L^+\hat{u}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Optimal Control Signal

Optimal control signal

The optimal control signal $\hat{u}(\cdot)$ fulfills the **DAE**

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t), & Ex(0) &= Ex_0 \\ 0 &= K^+x(t) + L^+\hat{u}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Optimal costs

$$V(Ex_0) = x_0^T E^T X^+ x_0.$$

Conclusions

Presented in this talk

1. Singular optimal control problems for differential-algebraic equations,
2. characterization of the optimal control via the maximal solution of a generalized Lur'e equation,
3. solvability criteria and construction of solution using even matrix pencils.

Conclusions

Presented in this talk

1. Singular optimal control problems for differential-algebraic equations,
2. characterization of the optimal control via the maximal solution of a generalized Lur'e equation,
3. solvability criteria and construction of solution using even matrix pencils.

Not presented in this talk

1. Frequency domain analysis,
2. numerical solution of descriptor Lur'e equations
⇒ see talk by Olaf Rendel tomorrow 09:40 in Section S17.

Thanks for your Attention!

References

1. LANCASTER, RODMAN '95: *The Algebraic Riccati Equation*, Oxford University Press, 1995.
2. KAWAMOTO, TAKABA, KATAYAMA '99: *On the generalized algebraic Riccati equation for continuous-time descriptor systems*, Linear Algebra Appl., 296:1–14, 1999.
3. REIS '11: *Lur'e equations and even matrix pencils*, Linear Algebra Appl., 434(1):152–173, 2011.
4. REIS, VOIGT '14: *Linear-quadratic optimal control for differential-algebraic equations*, 2014, in preparation.
5. THOMPSON '76: *The characteristic polynomial of a principal subpencil of a Hermitian matrix pencil*, Linear Algebra Appl., 14(2):135–177, 1976.