



2nd Symposium of the German SIAM Student Chapters
Heidelberg
August 15-16, 2013

The Singular Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problem for Differential-Algebraic Systems

Timo Reis¹ Matthias Voigt²

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Hamburg
Hamburg, Germany

²Computational Methods in Systems and
Control Theory
Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of
Complex Technical Systems
Magdeburg, Germany



Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control

Problem Formulation (ODE Case)

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, u) = \int_0^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} dt \longrightarrow \inf!$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0.$$

Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control

Problem Formulation (ODE Case)

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, u) = \int_0^\infty \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} dt \longrightarrow \inf!$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0.$$

Definitions

Minimizer: A function $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, \hat{u}) = \inf \{ \mathcal{J}(x_0, u) : u \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m) \}.$$

Optimal value:

$$\mathcal{V}(x_0) = \mathcal{J}(x_0, \hat{u}) = \inf \{ \mathcal{J}(x_0, u) : u \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^m) \}.$$

Feasibility and Solution

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Feasibility and Solution

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Answers

If $R > 0$ and some further assumptions hold, then:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible if and only if the **algebraic Riccati equation**

$$A^T X + XA + Q - (XB + S)R^{-1}(B^T X + S^T) = 0, \quad X = X^T$$

has a solution X .

Feasibility and Solution

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Answers

If $R > 0$ and some further assumptions hold, then:

2. The optimal solution is constructed by the **unique maximal solution** X^+ of the ARE, i.e.,

$$\hat{u}(t) = -R^{-1}(B^T X^+ + S^T)x(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}(x_0) = x_0^T X^+ x_0.$$

Properties of the solution:

- a) **Maximality:** $X \leq X^+$ for all other solutions X .
- b) **Stabilization:** Closed-loop matrix $A - BR^{-1}(B^T X^+ + S^T)$ has only eigenvalues in the closed left half-plane.

Construction of the Solution

Construction of the Solution

[LANCASTER, RODMAN '95]

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - BR^{-1}S & -BR^{-1}B^T \\ S^T R^{-1}S - Q & -(A - BR^{-1}S)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{bmatrix} T,$$

with $Y := \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times n}$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. If Y spans a **semi-stable Lagrangian invariant subspace**, then

1. $X^+ = Y_2 Y_1^{-1}$;
2. $T = A - BR^{-1}(B^T X^+ + S^T)$ with $\Lambda(T) \subset \mathbb{C}^- \cup i\mathbb{R}$.

Singular Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control

Problem

All the preceding statements only hold under the assumption that $R > 0$. But what happens, if R is singular, i.e., $R \geq 0$?

Note that neither the algebraic Riccati equation

$$A^T X + XA + Q - (XB + S)R^{-1}(B^T X + S^T) = 0, \quad X = X^T,$$

nor the Hamiltonian matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A - BR^{-1}S & -BR^{-1}B^T \\ S^T R^{-1}S - Q & -(A - BR^{-1}S)^T \end{bmatrix}$$

can be formulated.

Intermezzo: Matrix Pencils

Definition

A linear matrix polynomial of the form $sE - A$ is called a **matrix pencil**.

Terminology:

- eigenvalues**: roots of the characteristic polynomial $\det(sE - A)$,
- regular pencil**: if $\det(sE - A) \neq 0$, otherwise **singular pencil**,
- deflating subspace** $\text{im } X$: fulfills $(sE - A)X = Y(s\tilde{E} - \tilde{A})$ for some Y, \tilde{E}, \tilde{A} , and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Intermezzo: Matrix Pencils

Definition

A linear matrix polynomial of the form $sE - A$ is called a **matrix pencil**.

Terminology:

- eigenvalues**: roots of the characteristic polynomial $\det(sE - A)$,
- regular pencil**: if $\det(sE - A) \neq 0$, otherwise **singular pencil**,
- deflating subspace im X** : fulfills $(sE - A)X = Y(s\tilde{E} - \tilde{A})$ for some Y, \tilde{E}, \tilde{A} , and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Examples

- $s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\det(sE - A) = (s - 1)(s - 2)$, $\Lambda(E, A) = \{1, 2\}$;
- $s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\det(sE - A) = -2(s - 1)$, $\Lambda(E, A) = \{1, \infty\}$;
- $s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\det(sE - A) \equiv 0$, $\Lambda(E, A) = \mathbb{C}$ (singular pencil).

Lur'e Equations and Even Matrix Pencils

Solution

[REIS '11]

1. Replace the algebraic Riccati equation by the **Lur'e equation**:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T X + XA + Q &= K^T K, \\ XB + S &= K^T L, \quad X = X^T, \\ R &= L^T L, \end{aligned}$$

to be solved for $(X, K, L) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ with p as small as possible.

2. Replace the Hamiltonian matrix by an **even matrix pencil**:

$$s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sI_n + A & B \\ sI_n + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

(even $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E}^T, \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^T$)

Feasibility and Solution

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Feasibility and Solution

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Answers

[REIS '11]

If $R \geq 0$ and some further assumptions hold, then:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible if and only if the **Lur'e equation**

$$\begin{aligned}A^T X + XA + Q &= K^T K, \\ XB + S &= K^T L, \quad X = X^T, \\ R &= L^T L,\end{aligned}$$

has a solution (X, K, L) .

Feasibility and Solution

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values x_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Answers

[REIS '11]

If $R \geq 0$ and some further assumptions hold, then:

2. The optimal solution is constructed by the **unique maximal solution** (X^+, K^+, L^+) of the Lur'e equation, i.e., $\hat{u}(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t), & x(0) &= x_0, \\ 0 &= K^+x(t) + L^+\hat{u}(t), & \text{and } \mathcal{V}(x_0) &= x_0^T X^+ x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Properties of the solution:

- a) **Maximality:** $X \leq X^+$ for all other solutions (X, K, L) .
- b) **Stabilization:** Closed-loop matrix pencil $\begin{bmatrix} -sI_n + A & B \\ K^+ & L^+ \end{bmatrix}$ has finite eigenvalues only in the closed left half-plane.

Construction of the Solution

Construction of the Solution

[REIS '11]

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sl_n + A & B \\ sl_n + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} (s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$$

with $Y := \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m \times n+m}$ and $Z := \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m \times n+p}$ and

$s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{n+p \times n+m}$. If Y spans a **semi-stable maximally \mathcal{E} -neutral right deflating subspace**, then

1. $X^+ = Y_2 Y_1^-$ with an arbitrary right inverse Y_1^- of Y_1 ;
2. $s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -sl_n + A & B \\ K^+ & L^+ \end{bmatrix}$ with $\Lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \subset \mathbb{C}^- \cup i\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Weierstraß canonical form

For every **regular** pencil $sE - A$ there exist nonsingular $W, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$W(sE - A)T = s \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J and N are in Jordan canonical form, $sI_{n_f} - J$ has only finite eigenvalues and $sN - I_{n_\infty}$ has only infinite eigenvalues.

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Weierstraß canonical form

For every **regular** pencil $sE - A$ there exist nonsingular $W, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$W(sE - A)T = s \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J and N are in Jordan canonical form, $sI_{n_f} - J$ has only finite eigenvalues and $sN - I_{n_\infty}$ has only infinite eigenvalues.

Change of variables

$$WETT^{-1}\dot{x}(t) = WATT^{-1}x(t) + WBu(t)$$

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Weierstraß canonical form

For every **regular** pencil $sE - A$ there exist nonsingular $W, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$W(sE - A)T = s \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J and N are in Jordan canonical form, $sI_{n_f} - J$ has only finite eigenvalues and $sN - I_{n_\infty}$ has only infinite eigenvalues.

Change of variables

$$WETT^{-1}\dot{x}(t) = WATT^{-1}x(t) + WBu(t)$$

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Weierstraß canonical form

For every **regular** pencil $sE - A$ there exist nonsingular $W, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$W(sE - A)T = s \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J and N are in Jordan canonical form, $sI_{n_f} - J$ has only finite eigenvalues and $sN - I_{n_\infty}$ has only infinite eigenvalues.

Change of variables

$$WET\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = WAT\tilde{x}(t) + WBU(t)$$

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Weierstraß canonical form

For every **regular** pencil $sE - A$ there exist nonsingular $W, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$W(sE - A)T = s \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J and N are in Jordan canonical form, $sI_{n_f} - J$ has only finite eigenvalues and $sN - I_{n_\infty}$ has only infinite eigenvalues.

Change of variables

$$WET\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = WAT\tilde{x}(t) + WBu(t)$$

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Model Equation

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0.$$

Weierstraß canonical form

For every **regular** pencil $sE - A$ there exist nonsingular $W, T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$W(sE - A)T = s \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J and N are in Jordan canonical form, $sI_{n_f} - J$ has only finite eigenvalues and $sN - I_{n_\infty}$ has only infinite eigenvalues.

Change of variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Differential-Algebraic Equations – Basics

Change of variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_f} & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_\infty} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Decomposition into slow/fast subsystems

a) **slow:** $\dot{x}_1(t) = Jx_1(t) + B_1u(t),$

solution: $x_1(t) = e^{Jt}x_1(0) + \int_0^t e^{J(t-\tau)}B_1u(\tau)d\tau,$

b) **fast:** $N\dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t) + B_2u(t),$

solution: $x_2(t) = -\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} N^i B_2 u^{(i)}(t).$

The Fast Subsystem

$$x_2(t) = - \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} N^i B_2 u^{(i)}(t)$$

Features

1. need the first $\nu - 1$ derivatives of the input signal \implies inputs must be sufficiently smooth,
2. $\nu =$ index of nilpotency of N ($=$ smallest k such that $N^k = 0$), ν is called **algebraic index** of the system,
3. There are restrictions to the initial conditions (**consistency**):

$$x_2(t_0) = - \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} N^i B_2 u^{(i)}(t_0).$$

Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control for DAEs

Problem Formulation (DAE Case)

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, u) = \int_0^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} dt \longrightarrow \inf!$$

subject to

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ex(t) = 0.$$

Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control for DAEs

Problem Formulation (DAE Case)

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0, u) = \int_0^\infty \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} dt \longrightarrow \inf!$$

subject to

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad Ex(0) = Ex_0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ex(t) = 0.$$

Questions

1. **Feasibility:** Does a minimizer exist for all initial values Ex_0 ?
2. **Construction:** If yes, how can we construct such a minimizer?

Generalized Lur'e Equations and Even Matrix Pencils

Generalized Structures

[REIS, V. '13]

1. Replace the Lur'e equation by the **generalized Lur'e equation**:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T X + X^T A + Q &= K^T K + V_\infty^T \Sigma V_\infty, \\ X^T B + S &= K^T L + V_\infty^T \Sigma W_\infty, \quad E^T X = X^T E \\ R &= L^T L + W_\infty^T \Sigma W_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

to be solved for $(X, K, L, V_\infty, W_\infty, \Sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r \times n-r}$ with minimal p , where Σ is a signature matrix and $\ker \begin{bmatrix} V_\infty & W_\infty \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{V}$.

2. Replace the even matrix pencil by another **even matrix pencil**:

$$s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sE + A & B \\ sE^T + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

Feasibility and Solution

Answers

[REIS, V. '13]

If $R \geq 0$ and some further assumptions hold, then:

1. The optimal control problem is feasible if and only if the **generalized Lur'e equation**

$$\begin{aligned} A^T X + X^T A + Q &= K^T K + V_\infty^T \Sigma V_\infty, \\ X^T B + S &= K^T L + V_\infty^T \Sigma W_\infty, \\ R &= L^T L + W_\infty^T \Sigma W_\infty, \\ E^T X &= X^T E \end{aligned}$$

has a solution $(X, K, L, V_\infty, W_\infty, \Sigma)$.

Feasibility and Solution

Answers

[REIS, V. '13]

If $R \geq 0$ and some further assumptions hold, then:

- The optimal solution is constructed by the **unique maximal solution** $(X^+, K^+, L^+, V_\infty^+, W_\infty^+, \Sigma^+)$ of the generalized Lur'e equation, i.e., $\hat{u}(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t), & Ex(0) &= Ex_0, \\ 0 &= K^+x(t) + L^+\hat{u}(t), & \text{and } \mathcal{V}(x_0) &= x_0^T E^T X^+ x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Properties of the solution:

- Maximality:** $E^T X \leq E^T X^+$ for all other solutions $(X, K, L, V_\infty, W_\infty, \Sigma)$.
- Stabilization:** Closed-loop matrix pencil $\begin{bmatrix} -sE + A & B \\ K^+ & L^+ \end{bmatrix}$ has finite eigenvalues only in the closed left half-plane.

Construction of the Solution

Construction of the Solution

[REIS, V. '13]

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -sE + A & B \\ sE^T + A^T & Q & S \\ B^T & S^T & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} (s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$$

with $Y := \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m \times n+m}$ and $Z := \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+m \times n+p}$ and

$s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{n+p \times n+m}$. If Y spans a **semi-stable \mathcal{E} -neutral right deflating subspace of dimension $n + m$** , then

1. $X^+ = Y_2 Y_1^-$ with an arbitrary right inverse Y_1^- of Y_1 ;
2. $s\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -sE + A & B \\ K^+ & L^+ \end{bmatrix}$ with $\Lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \subset \mathbb{C}^- \cup i\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Conclusion

Presented in this talk

1. Singular optimal control problems for differential-algebraic equations,
2. characterization of the optimal control via the maximal solution of a generalized Lur'e equation.

Conclusion

Presented in this talk

1. Singular optimal control problems for differential-algebraic equations,
2. characterization of the optimal control via the maximal solution of a generalized Lur'e equation.

Not presented in this talk

1. Solvability criteria in terms of the eigenstructure of even pencils,
2. frequency domain analysis,
3. to do: Algorithms for solving generalized Lur'e equations.

Thanks for your Attention!

References

1. LANCASTER, RODMAN '95: *The Algebraic Riccati Equation*, Oxford University Press, 1995.
2. REIS '11: *Lur'e equations and even matrix pencils*, Linear Algebra Appl., 434(1), Jan. 2011, pp. 152–173.
3. REIS, VOIGT '13: *Spectral factorization and linear-quadratic optimal control of differential-algebraic systems*, 2013, in preparation.