

## Computation of the $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ -Norm for Large-Scale Systems

MATTHIAS VOIGT

(joint work with Peter Benner and Ryan Lowe)

In this short report we consider linear time-invariant descriptor systems

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad y(t) = Cx(t),$$

where  $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the descriptor vector,  $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the input vector, and  $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the output vector. Assuming that the pencil  $\lambda E - A$  is regular, the relationship between inputs and outputs in the frequency domain is given by the transfer function

$$G(s) := C(sE - A)^{-1}B.$$

By  $\mathcal{RH}_\infty^{p \times m}$  we denote the Banach space of all rational  $p \times m$  matrix-valued functions that are analytic and bounded in the open right half-plane  $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0\}$ . For  $G \in \mathcal{RH}_\infty^{p \times m}$ , the  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ -norm is defined by

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}^+} \|G(s)\|_2 = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(i\omega)\|_2.$$

The aim is to compute this norm under the assumption that all the matrices  $E, A, B, C$  are large and sparse and that  $m, p \ll n$ . We propose two approaches to achieve this.

The first approach is presented in [1] and considers perturbed transfer functions

$$G_\Delta(s) = C(sE - (A + B\Delta C))^{-1}B.$$

We define the structured complex stability radius by

$$r_{\mathbb{C}}(E, A, B, C) := \inf \{ \|\Delta\|_2 : G_\Delta \notin \mathcal{RH}_\infty^{p \times m} \text{ for some } \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p} \}.$$

It can be shown that

$$r_{\mathbb{C}}(E, A, B, C) = \begin{cases} 1/\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} & \text{if } G \neq 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } G \equiv 0. \end{cases}$$

The condition that  $G_\Delta \notin \mathcal{RH}_\infty^{p \times m}$  can be achieved in three ways. First, it can happen that  $G_\Delta(\cdot)$  is not well-defined which is the case when the pencil  $\lambda E - (A + B\Delta C)$  is singular. Second,  $G_\Delta(\cdot)$  might be improper, i.e., unbounded at infinity. These two cases are treated separately. The algorithm concentrates on the third case, namely  $G_\Delta(\cdot)$  has poles on the imaginary axis. This computation is based on structured  $\varepsilon$ -pseudospectra

$$\Pi_\varepsilon(E, A, B, C) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : s \text{ is a pole of } G_\Delta(\cdot) \text{ for a } \Delta \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p} \text{ with } \|\Delta\|_2 < \varepsilon\}.$$

To compute  $r_{\mathbb{C}}(E, A, B, C)$  we have to find the value of  $\varepsilon$  for which  $\Pi_\varepsilon(E, A, B, C)$  touches the imaginary axis. This is done in a nested iteration, similarly as in [2]. In the inner iteration we compute the rightmost point of  $\Pi_\varepsilon(E, A, B, C)$  for a *fixed* value of  $\varepsilon$ . This is done by computing an appropriate perturbation  $\Delta$  that moves one of the poles of  $G(\cdot)$  to the boundary of  $\Pi_\varepsilon(E, A, B, C)$ . We exploit the fact that an optimizing perturbation is of rank one, i.e.,  $\Delta = \varepsilon uv^H$  with  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$

and  $\|u\|_2 = \|v\|_2 = 1$ . In the outer iteration,  $\varepsilon$  is varied by applying Newton's method. To determine the pole that should be perturbed in the inner iteration we compute some dominant poles of  $G(\cdot)$  [3]. This is particularly important to find *global* instead of local optimizers.

The second method goes back to [4] and has been generalized to descriptor systems in [5]. There we consider even matrix pencils of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\lambda) := \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -\lambda E^T - A^T & -C^T & 0 \\ \lambda E - A & 0 & 0 & -B \\ \hline -C & 0 & \gamma I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -B^T & 0 & \gamma I_m \end{array} \right].$$

If  $\lambda E - A$  has no finite, purely imaginary eigenvalues and  $\gamma > \min_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(i\omega)\|_2$ , then  $\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \geq \gamma$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\lambda)$  has finite, purely imaginary eigenvalues. This can be used to implement an algorithm that iterates over  $\gamma$  and checks in every step whether  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\lambda)$  has finite, purely imaginary eigenvalues. These eigenvalues also determine the boundary points of the components of the level-set

$$\Omega_\gamma := \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \|G(i\omega)\|_2 > \gamma\}.$$

As discussed in [5], is important to find *all* finite, purely imaginary eigenvalues to obtain the entire level-set and to ensure global convergence to the  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ -norm. However, in the large-scale setting, we cannot compute all eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma(\lambda)$ , but we can only use iterative methods to determine *some* eigenvalues close to a number of prespecified shifts. However, heuristically the  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ -norm is attained close to a dominant pole. Therefore, we use the dominant poles to determine shifts for the even eigensolver presented in [6]. In this way, we cannot ensure to find the whole level-set  $\Omega_\gamma$ , but we can still find one of its components that contains the optimizing frequency  $\omega$ . The results of this approach and a comparison to the pseudospectral method are discussed in [7]. Numerical examples show that both methods work well, even for rather difficult examples.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Benner and M. Voigt, *A structured pseudospectral method for  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ -norm computation of large-scale descriptor systems*, Math. Control Signals Systems (2013).
- [2] N. Guglielmi, M. Gürbüzbalaban, and M. L. Overton, *Fast approximation of the  $H_\infty$  norm via optimization over spectral value sets*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **34** (2013), 709–737.
- [3] J. Rommes and N. Martins, *Efficient computation of multivariate transfer function dominant poles using subspace acceleration*, IEEE Trans. Power Syst. **21** (2006), 1471–1483.
- [4] N. A. Bruinsma and M. Steinbuch, *A fast algorithm to compute the  $H_\infty$ -norm of a transfer function matrix*, Systems Control Lett. **14** (1990), 287–293.
- [5] P. Benner, V. Sima, and M. Voigt,  *$\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -norm computation for continuous-time descriptor systems using structured matrix pencils*, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **57** (2012), 233–238.
- [6] V. Mehrmann, C. Schröder, and V. Simoncini, *An implicitly-restarted Krylov subspace method for real symmetric/skew-symmetric eigenproblems*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), 4070–4087.
- [7] R. Lowe and M. Voigt,  *$\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -norm computation for large-scale descriptor systems using structured iterative eigensolvers*, Preprint MPIMD/13-20, Max Planck Institute Magdeburg (2013).